

On Fredholm Theory In a Banach Algebra of Operators

Ahmad M. AL – Zeir, Dr. Buthainah A. H. Ahmed, Dr. Sakin A. Fathy
University of Baghdad, College of Science, Department of Mathematics.

Introduction

Let $B(X)$ be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on the infinite dimensional Banach space X .

We say that two infinite dimensional Banach spaces X and Y form a dual system, denoted (X, Y) , if there is defined on $X \times Y$ a nondegenerated bounded bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, [6, P. 6].

Let $T \in B(X)$, if there exists $T^+ \in B(Y)$ such that

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^+y \rangle \quad \text{for all } x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y,$$

then T^+ is called a conjugate operator to T relative to the dual system (X, Y) . [4, p. 44]

Let $A(X, Y)$ be the algebra of all $T \in B(X)$ that have, with respect to the dual system (X, Y) , a conjugate $T^+ \in B(Y)$. $A(X, Y)$ is a Banach algebra with respect to the norm given by

$$\|T\|_A = \max\{\|T\|, \|T^+\|\}, \quad [4, P. 45]$$

An operator T in $B(X)$ is called a Fredholm operator if both $\alpha(T)$ and $\beta(T)$ are finite, Where $\alpha(T)$ denotes the dimension of the null space of T , $\dim N(T)$, and $\beta(T)$ denotes the codimension of the image of T , $\text{codim } R(T)$ [2, P. 3]

In this paper we study the connection between some properties of operators $T \in A(X, Y)$ and their conjugates T^+ . For example we show that if T is an operator in $A(X, Y)$, such that the dimension of the null space of T is finite or the codimension of the image of T^+ is finite, then $R(T^+) = N(T)^\perp$ and $\beta(T^+) = \alpha(T)$ are equivalent. We also prove that if the codimension of the image of T is finite, then the dimension of the null space of the conjugate operator T^+ is also finite and in fact, is less or equal it.

Now let $\Phi(X)$ be the set of all Fredholm operators in $B(X)$, and for any T in $\Phi(X)$, the index $\text{ind}(T)$, is defined by the formula

$$\text{ind}(T) = \alpha(T) - \beta(T)$$

On Fredholm Theory In a Banach..

let $\Phi_0(X)$ be the set of all Fredholm operators of index zero and $F(X)$ be the set of all finite rank operators.

Also let $[M]$ be the subspace generated or spanned by a non - empty subset M of X .

§ 1. Fredholm Operators in $B(X)$.

In this section we study the connection between the properties of operators T and their conjugates T^+ .

First we give the following general proposition which appeared in [7, P. 99] for the particular case of the dual system (X, X') where X' is the dual space of X and (X, X') the dual system with bilinear form defined by $\langle x, x' \rangle = x'(x)$.

Proposition (1. 1)

Let $T \in A(X, Y)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad R(T)^\perp &= N(T^+) & (2) \quad N(T^+) &= N(T^+)^\perp \\ (3) \quad R(T^+)^\perp &= N(T) & (4) \quad N(T) &= N(T)^\perp \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad y \in N(T^+) &\Leftrightarrow T^+ y = \theta \Leftrightarrow \langle x, T^+ y \rangle = \theta \text{ for all } x \in X \\ &\Leftrightarrow \langle Tx, y \rangle = \theta \text{ for all } x \in X \\ &\Leftrightarrow y \in R(T)^\perp \end{aligned}$$

(2) From part (1), it follows that

$$N(T^+)^\perp = (R(T)^\perp)^\perp = R(T)^\perp = N(T^+)$$

In similar way, we can prove (3) and (4). \square

Form the previous proposition, we Conclude the following:

Corollary (1. 2)

Let $T \in A(X, Y)$, if T (or T^+) is surjective, then T^+ (or T) is injective.

Also we need the following two lemmas which are known.

Lemma (1. 3)

Assume $T \in A(X, Y)$

(1) If x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are elements in $N(T)$ and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n are elements in Y such that $\langle x_i, y_k \rangle = \delta_{ik}$ for $i, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ then $[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n] \cap R(T^+) = \{\theta\}$

(2) if w_1, \dots, w_n are elements in $N(T^+)$ and if z_1, \dots, z_n are elements in X such that $\langle z_i, w_k \rangle = \delta_{ik}$ for $i, k = 1, \dots, n$ then $[z_1, \dots, z_n] \cap R(T) = \{\theta\}$

lemma (1. 4)

If E and F are subspaces of a vector space V whose intersection is trivial, then E has a complementary space that contains F.

Now we can state one of our main results.

Theorem (1. 5)

Let $T \in A(X, Y)$

(1) If $\alpha(T) < \infty$ then $R(T^+) = N(T)^\perp$ iff $\beta(T^+) = \alpha(T)$

(2) If $\alpha(T^+) < \infty$ then $R(T) = N(T^+)$ iff $\beta(T) = \alpha(T^+)$

proof

(1) \Rightarrow) If $\alpha(T) = 0$, then it is clear that $\beta(T^+) = \alpha(T)$.

Now, assume that $\alpha(T) = n > 0$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of $N(T)$, there exist n linearly independent elements y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n in Y such that $\langle x_i, y_k \rangle = \delta_{ik}$ for $i, k = 1, \dots, n$. [5, P. 18], [6, P. 63]. So

$$[y_1, \dots, y_n] \cap R(T^+) = \{0\} \text{ [lemma 1. 3].}$$

For every y in Y , we define

$$w_y = y - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, y \rangle y_i ; \text{ so}$$

$$\langle x_k, w_y \rangle = \langle x_k, y \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, y \rangle \langle x_k, y_i \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } k, 1 \leq k \leq n$$

this implies that $w_y \in N(T)^\perp$ so $w_y \in R(T^+)$

Hence, every $y \in Y$ can be represented in the form

$$y = \alpha_1 y_1 + \dots + \alpha_n y_n + w_y, \quad w_y \in R(T^+) \text{ and } \alpha_i = \langle x_i, y \rangle$$

Therefore, $[y_1, \dots, y_n] \oplus R(T^+) = Y$ and so

$$\text{codim } R(T^+) = n = \text{dim } N(T) \text{ that is } \beta(T^+) = \alpha(T)$$

\Leftarrow) Clearly, $R(T^+) \subset N(T)^\perp$ [3, P. 90, P. 134]. To prove that $N(T)^\perp \subset R(T^+)$, if $\alpha(T) = 0$, then $N(T) = 0$ implies that $N(T)^\perp = Y$ and hence $R(T^+) = N(T)^\perp$. Assume that $\alpha(T) = n > 0$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis for $N(T)$ [5, P. 18] [6, P. 63].

There exist n linearly independent elements y_1, \dots, y_n in Y such that

$$\langle x_i, y_k \rangle = \delta_{ik} \text{ for } i, k = 1, \dots, n$$

so $[y_1, \dots, y_n] \cap R(T^+) = \{0\}$ [lemma 1. 3] and $R(T^+)$ has a complementary space that contains $[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ since

On Fredholm Theory In a Banach..

$$\dim[y_1, \dots, y_n] = n = \alpha(T) = \beta(T^+) \quad \text{hence}$$

$$Y = [y_1, \dots, y_n] \oplus R(T^+).$$

Now, if $y \in N(T)^\perp \subseteq Y$, then

$$y = \alpha_1 y_1 + \dots + \alpha_n y_n + T^+ w \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}, w \in Y \quad \text{since for all } k, 1 \leq k \leq n, \text{ we have}$$

$$0 = \langle x_k, y \rangle = \sum \alpha_i \langle x_k, y_i \rangle + \langle x_k, T^+ w \rangle = \alpha_k + \langle T x_k, w \rangle = \alpha_k$$

hence $y = T^+ w$, i. e. $y \in R(T^+)$, thus $N(T)^\perp \subseteq R(T^+)$ therefore $R(T^+) = N(T)^\perp$.

(2) Assume $T \in A(X, Y)$ and $\alpha(T^+) < \infty$, then $T^+ \in A(Y, X)$ and T is the conjugate operator to T^+ and since $\alpha(T^+) < \infty$, then by part one $R(T) = N(T^+)$ and $\beta(T) = \alpha(T^+)$ are equivalent. \square

Now, suppose $T \in A(X, Y)$. In the following theorem we show that if the codimension of the image of T is finite then the dimension of the null space of the conjugate operator T^+ to T is also finite.

Theorem (1. 6)

Let $T \in A(X, Y)$

(1) If $\beta(T) < \infty$, then $\alpha(T^+) < \infty$. In particular $\alpha(T^+) \leq \beta(T)$.

(2) If $\beta(T^+) < \infty$, then $\alpha(T) < \infty$. In particular $\alpha(T) \leq \beta(T^+)$.

Proof:

(1) If $\beta(T) = 0$, then $R(T) = X$ this implies that the conjugate operator T^+ to T is injective [Corollary 1. 2] so $\alpha(T^+) = 0$.

Now we may assume that $\beta(T) = m > 0$, and assume the contrary, that $N(T^+)$ is infinite dimensional, therefore one can choose n linearly independent elements y_1, \dots, y_n in $N(T^+)$ such that $n > m$.

There exist n linearly independent elements x_1, \dots, x_n in X such that

$$\langle x_i, y_k \rangle = \delta_{ik} \quad i, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\text{then } [x_1, \dots, x_n] \cap R(T) = \{0\} \text{ (lemma 1. 3).}$$

Since $R(T)$ has a complementary space which contains $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$, then

$$n = \dim[x_1, \dots, x_n] \leq \text{co dim } R(T) = \beta(T) = m$$

and this is contradiction.

Thus $\alpha(T^+)$ must be finite and also $\alpha(T^+) \leq \beta(T)$.

(2) Since $T \in A(X, Y)$ then $T^+ \in A(Y, X)$ and since $\beta(T^+) < \infty$, therefore by part (1) $\alpha(T) \leq \beta(T^+)$. \square

Using this theorem we have the following Corollary

Corollary (1. 7)

Let $T \in A(X, Y)$, if $\beta(T)$ and $\beta(T^+)$ are finite, then $T \in \Phi(X)$ and $T^+ \in \Phi(Y)$.

Form our preceding theorems (1. 5) and (1. 6), we obtain directly the following result.

Corollary (1. 8)

Let $T \in A(X, Y)$, then

(1) If $\beta(T) < \infty$, then $R(T) = N(T^+)^{\perp}$ if and only if $\beta(T) = \alpha(T^+)$.

(2) If $\beta(T^+) < \infty$, then $R(T^+) = N(T)$ if and only if $\beta(T^+) = \alpha(T)$.

It was proved in [4, P. 111] that if $T \in \Phi(X), T^+ \in \Phi(Y)$ and $ind(T) = -ind(T^+)$ then $R(T) = N(T^+)$, $R(T^+) = N(T)$

$$\beta(T) = \alpha(T^+) \quad , \quad \beta(T^+) = \alpha(T)$$

We prove a stronger result as follows

Theorem (1. 9)

Let $T \in A(X, Y), T^+ \in \Phi(Y)$, then the following statements are equivalent

(1) $R(T) = N(T^+)$ and $R(T^+) = N(T)$

(2) $\beta(T) = \alpha(T^+)$ and $\beta(T^+) = \alpha(T)$

(3) $ind(T) = -ind(T^+)$

Proof:

(1) \Leftrightarrow (2) follows from Theorem (1. 4)

(2) \Rightarrow (3) trivial.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) since $\beta(T)$ and $\beta(T^+)$ are finite, by Theorem (1. 6), $\alpha(T^+) \leq \beta(T)$ and $\alpha(T) \leq \beta(T^+)$, then

$$ind(T) = \alpha(T) - \beta(T) \leq \beta(T^+) - \alpha(T^+) = -ind(T^+) = ind(T)$$

Therefore, $\alpha(T) - \beta(T) = \beta(T^+) - \alpha(T^+)$.

Thus $\alpha(T) = \beta(T^+)$, and $\alpha(T^+) = \beta(T)$

From the previous theorem, we have the following corollary.

Corollary (1. 10)

On Fredholm Theory In a Banach..

Assume $T \in A(X, Y), T \in \Phi^0(X), T^+ \in \Phi^0(Y)$, then T and T^+ are bijective if and only if one of them is injective or surjective.

Let $T \in A(X, Y)$, Jorgens in [4, P. 111] proved that if $T \in \Phi(X), T^+ \in \Phi(Y)$, and $ind(T) = -ind(T^+)$, then there exist projections P and Q in $F(A) = A(X, Y) \cap F(X)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} R(P) &= N(T) & N(P^+) &= R(T^+) \\ N(Q) &= R(T) & R(Q^+) &= N(T^+) \end{aligned}$$

In fact, we can say more than this

Theorem (1. 11)

Let $T \in A(X, Y)$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $T \in \Phi(X), T^+ \in \Phi(Y)$, and $ind(T) = -ind(T^+)$
- (2) There exist projections P and Q in $F(A)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} R(P) &= N(T) & N(P^+) &= R(T^+) \\ N(Q) &= R(T) & R(Q^+) &= N(T^+) \end{aligned}$$

Proof

(1) \Rightarrow (2)

Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a basis of $N(T)$, then there exist n linearly independent elements y_1, \dots, y_n in Y such that $\langle x_i, y_k \rangle = \delta_{ik}$ $i, k=1, \dots, n$ [5, P. 18] [6, P. 63]

Define P by

$$Px = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, y_i \rangle x_i \quad [4, p. 47]$$

So $P \in F(A)$, and since for all k , $1 \leq k \leq n, Px_k = x_k$, P is a projection and $R(P) = [x_1, \dots, x_n] = N(T)$. Clearly, the conjugate operator P^+ to P is given by

$$P^+ y = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, y \rangle y_i, \text{ thus}$$

$$N(P^+) = \{y \in Y : \langle x_i, y \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

$$= \{y \in Y : \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } x \in N(T)\} = N(T)^\perp$$

but $R(T^+) = N(T^+)^\perp$ [Theorem 1.9], hence $N(P^+) = R(T^+)$.

We can define the projection Q in $F(A)$ by $Qx = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, w_i \rangle z_i$

With w_1, \dots, w_n form a basis of $N(T^+)$ and z_1, \dots, z_n linearly independent elements in X such that $\langle z_i, w_k \rangle = \delta_{ik}$

In a similar way and with the aid of $R(T) = N(T^+)^\perp$ we can show that

$$N(Q) = R(T) \quad , \quad R(Q^+) = N(T^+)$$

$$(2) \Rightarrow (1)$$

To prove that $T \in \Phi(X)$, it follows from $P \in F(X)$ that $\dim R(P) < \infty$ and since $R(P) = N(T)$, so $\alpha(T) < \infty$. Since Q is a projection and $N(Q) = R(T)$, this implies that $R(Q)$ is a Complementary space to $R(T)$ and since $Q \in F(X)$, then $\dim R(Q) < \infty$ and so $\beta(T) < \infty$. Now, we show that $T^+ \in \Phi(Y)$.

Since P and Q are projections of finite rank, we can easily show that P^+ and Q^+ are also projections of finite rank, therefore,

$$\alpha(T^+) = \dim N(T^+) = \dim R(Q^+) < \infty \quad \text{and by } N(P^+) = R(T^+)$$

$$\beta(T^+) = \text{codim } R(T^+) = \dim R(P^+) < \infty .$$

It remains to prove that $\text{ind}(T) = -\text{ind}(T^+)$. For this, we show that $\alpha(T^+) = \beta(T)$. By hypothesis, there exists a projection Q in $F(A)$ such that $N(Q) = R(T)$ and $R(Q^+) = N(T^+)$, by [4, P.47] Q can be

represented in the form $Qx = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, y_i \rangle x_i$,

where x_1, \dots, x_n are linearly independent in X and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n are linearly independent in Y . By [3, P. 125] $R(Q) = [x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and since $N(Q) = R(T)$, therefore $X = [x_1, \dots, x_n] \oplus R(T)$ and so $\beta(T) = n$.

Now, since $R(T) = N(Q) = \{z \in X : \langle z, y_k \rangle = 0, k = 1, \dots, n\}$,

then for all $k, 1 \leq k \leq n, 0 = \langle T_x, y_k \rangle = \langle x, T^+ y_k \rangle$ for all $x \in X$ and since (X, Y) is a dual system, then $T^+ y_k = 0$ for all $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, that is $y_k \in N(T^+)$ which are linearly independent in $N(T^+)$, therefore $n \leq \dim(N^+)$ and so $\beta(T) \leq \alpha(T^+)$. Since $\beta(T) \leq \infty$ then $\alpha(T^+) \leq \beta(T)$ [Theorem 1. 6]. Hence $\alpha(T^+) = \beta(T)$. Similarly, with the aid of the projection $P, \alpha(T) \leq \beta(T^+)$. Thus

$$\text{ind}(T) = \alpha(T) - \beta(T) = \beta(T^+) - \alpha(T^+) = -\text{ind}(T^+)$$

On Fredholm Theory In a Banach..

References

- (1) Barnes B. (1987): Fredholm theory in a Banach algebra of operators, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A 87, no. 1, pp. 1 - 11.
- (2) Barnes B., Murphy. G., Smyth, R. and West T. T. (1982): Riesz and Fredholm theory in algebras, Research Notes in Mathematics Pitman Press.
- (3) Heuser, H. G. (1982): Functional analysis, John Wiley and Sons Ltd. New York.
- (4) Jorgens, K. (1982): Linear integral operators, Pitman Press.
- (5) Kress, R., (1983): Integral equation methods in scattering theory, John Wiley and Sons. Inc., New York.
- (6) Rickart C. E., (1960): General theory of Banach algebras, Van norstrand, Princeton - Toronto - London.
- (7) Rudin, W., (1991): Functional analysis, Mcgrow hill, Inc., New York.