

Received on (18-10-2016) Accepted on (12-12-2016)

Compactness on Upper Bounded

T_0 - Alexandroff Spaces

Hisham Mahdi^{1,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Islamic University of Gaza, Gaza Strip, Palestine

* Corresponding author

e-mail address: hmahdi@iugaza.edu.ps

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to characterize several types of compactness on upper bounded T_0 - Alexandroff spaces. We discuss the relations between these types under certain conditions. It is mainly shown that an Upper Bounded T_0 - Alexandroff space X is P - closed if and only if it is quasi H-closed. In addition, it is semi-compact iff it is finite.

MSC2010: 54D20, 54D30, 54D45, 54F05.

Keywords: Alexandroff space, compact, strongly compact, p - closed, QHC .

1. Introduction:

An Alexandroff space [2] (briefly A -space) (or a smallest neighborhood space) X is a topological space in which the arbitrary intersection of open sets is open. In this space, each element x possesses a smallest open neighborhood $V(x)$ which is the intersection of all open sets containing x . For every T_0 A -space (X, τ) , there is a corresponding poset (X, \leq_τ) in one to one and onto way, where each one of them is completely determined by the other. If (X, τ) is a T_0 A -space, we define the corresponding partial order \leq_τ , called (Alexandroff) specialization order, by: $a \leq_\tau b$ iff $a \in \overline{\{b\}}$ iff $b \in V(a)$. On the other hand, if (X, \leq) is a poset, then the collection $\mathbf{B} = \{\uparrow x : x \in X\}$ forms a base for a T_0 A -space on X , denoted by τ_\leq . So throughout this paper, we consider $(X, \tau(\leq))$ to be a T_0 A -space (X, τ) together with its corresponding poset (X, \leq) . A

space is an upper bounded T_0 A -space (briefly a $UB T_0$ A -space) (resp. a lower bounded T_0 A -space (briefly an $LB T_0$ A -space)) if every chain of points in the corresponding poset (X, \leq) is bounded above (resp. bounded below). It is *bibounded* (briefly BB) if it is both UB and LB [22]. Given a poset (X, \leq) , the set of all maximal elements is denoted by $M(X)$ (or simply by M) and the set of all minimal elements is denoted by $m(X)$ (or simply by m). For $x \in X$, $\uparrow x = \{y \in X : x \leq y\}$, and $\downarrow x = \{z \in X : z \leq x\}$. We define $Max = M - m$. Moreover, for each $x \in X$, we define \hat{x} to be the set of all maximal elements greater than or equal to x and \check{x} the set of all minimal elements less than or equal to x . If X is a $UB T_0$ A -space, then $M \neq \emptyset$ and $\hat{x} \neq \emptyset \forall x \in X$. Similarly in an $LB T_0$ A -spaces, $m \neq \emptyset$ and $\check{x} \neq \emptyset \forall x \in X$. For a T_0 A -space $(X, \tau(\leq))$, we have

the following: A set A is open (resp. closed) iff A is up (resp. down) set in the corresponding poset. For each $x \in X$, $V(x) = \uparrow x$, $\hat{x} = \uparrow x \cap M$ and $\check{x} = \downarrow x \cap m$ [11].

A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called a *semi-open* [10] (resp. a *preopen* [15], an α -open [18]) set if $A \subseteq Cl(Int(A))$ (resp. $A \subseteq Int(Cl(A))$, $A \subseteq Int(Cl(Int(A)))$). It is called a *semi-closed* [4] (resp. a *preclosed* [6], an α -closed [5]) set if A^c is semi-open (resp. preopen, α -open). The family of all semi-open (resp. preopen, α -open) sets is denoted by $SO(X)$ (resp. $PO(X)$, τ_α). We have the following facts: The collection τ_α forms a topology on X [18]. $\tau_\alpha = PO(X) \cap SO(X)$ [19]. $\tau \subseteq \tau_\alpha$ (resp. $\tau \subseteq SO(X)$, $\tau \subseteq PO(X)$).

1. Preliminary Notes

Theorem 2.1 [12] Let $(X, \tau(\leq))$ be a UB T_0 A -space. Then we have the following:

1. $PO(X) = \tau_\alpha$.
2. $PO(X) \subseteq SO(X)$.
3. For $A \subseteq X$, A is semi-open set if and only if $\hat{x} \cap A \neq \emptyset \quad \forall x \in A$.

A topological space (X, τ) is said to be *extremally disconnected* if the closure of every open set is open. X is *submaximal* [21] if each dense subset is open. It is *resolvable* [9] if and only if $X = D \cup D^c$ where both D and D^c are dense. A subset $A \subseteq X$ is *resolvable* if the subspace (A, τ_A) is resolvable. X is *irresolvable* if it is not resolvable and X is *strongly irresolvable* [8] if no nonempty open set is resolvable.

Theorem 2.2 [12] Let X be a UB T_0 A -space, then

1. X is strongly irresolvable.

2. The space (X, τ_α) is submaximal.

3. X is submaximal iff $\tau = \tau_\alpha$.

Theorem 2.3 [12] Let X be a UB T_0 A -space, then X is *extermally disconnected* iff $|\hat{x}| = 1$ for each $x \in X$ iff $\tau_\alpha = SO(X)$.

Theorem 2.4 [11] Let $(X, \tau(\leq))$ be a T_0 A -space. Then X is *submaximal* iff each element set in X is either open or closed.

3. Compactness of T_0 -Alexandroff Spaces

Let (X, τ) be a topological space then X is *compact* iff each open cover of X has a finite subcover. X is *countably compact* iff each countable open cover of X has a finite subcover. X is *Lindelöf* iff each open cover of X has a countable subcover. X is *locally compact* iff each point in X has a neighborhood base consisting of compact sets. A collection \mathcal{U} of subsets of X is *locally finite* iff each $x \in X$ has a neighborhood meeting only finitely many $U \in \mathcal{U}$. If \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} are covers of X , then we say \mathcal{U} is a *refinement* of \mathcal{V} iff each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ is contained in some $V \in \mathcal{V}$. X is *paracompact* iff each open cover of X has an open locally finite refinement. X is *separable* iff it contains a countable dense subset. X is *orthocompact* iff every open cover has an open refinement \mathcal{V} such that for all $x \in X$, $\bigcap \{V \in \mathcal{V} : x \in V\}$ is open.

Theorem 3.1 [13] Let $(X, \tau(\leq))$ be a T_0 -Alexandroff space. Then

1. X is compact iff X contains a finite subset N of minimal elements such that $\uparrow N = X$.
2. X is locally compact.
3. If X contains a countable subset C of minimal elements in which $\uparrow C = X$, then X is Lindelöf (but not conversely).

Corollary 3.2 [22] Let $(X, \tau(\leq))$ be a T_0 A -space. Then X is compact iff X is an LB and the set of all minimal elements m is finite.

Corollary 3.3 Let $(X, \tau(\leq))$ be an LB T_0 A -space. Then X is Lindelöf iff the set of minimal elements m is countable.

Theorem 3.4 Let $(X, \tau(\leq))$ be an LB T_0 A -space. Then X is compact if and only if it is countably compact.

Proof. A compact space is countably compact. Conversely, if X is not compact, then the set of minimal elements m is infinite. Let x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots be elements in m and let $m' = m - \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$. Then $\{V(x_1), V(x_2), V(x_3), \dots\} \cup \{V(m')\}$ is a countable open cover without finite subcover.

Theorem 3.5 [7] If $(X, \tau(\leq))$ is a UB T_0 A -space, then the space (X, τ_α) is an Alexandroff space (necessarily T_0). Moreover, $x \leq_\alpha y$ in (X, \leq_α) if and only if $y \in \{x\} \cup \hat{x}$.

Corollary 3.6 Let $(X, \tau(\leq))$ be a UB T_0 A -space. Then (X, τ_α) is compact (resp. Lindelöf) if and only if the set $X - Max$ is finite (resp. countable).

Proof. Using Theorem 3.5, each element in (X, \leq_α) is either minimal or maximal. So, the space (X, τ_α) is a BB T_0 A -space with a set of minimal elements $m_\alpha = X - Max$. Hence, the result follows directly from Corollary 3.2 and Corollary 3.3.

Theorem 3.7 [3] Let X be a T_0 - Alexandroff space.

1. X is orthocompact.
2. X is paracompact if and only if for every $x \in X$, $V(x)$ meets only a finite number of $V(y)$.

Theorem 3.8 Let X be a T_0 - Alexandroff space. Then X is paracompact iff $\forall x \in X$ and $\forall z \in V(x)$, both $V(z)$ and \bar{z} are finite.

Proof. If there exist infinite different numbers y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots in $V(z)$, then $y_i \in V(y_i) \subseteq V(x) \forall i$. Hence $V(x)$ meets infinite numbers of $V(y_i)$. By Theorem 3.7 X is not paracompact. In addition, if there exist infinite different numbers z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots in \bar{z} , then $z \in V(x) \cap V(z_i) \forall i$. Hence a gain, $V(x)$ meets infinite number of $V(z_i)$. Conversely, suppose to contrary that there exists $V(x)$ meets infinitely many $V(w_i), i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then there exists $x_i \in V(x) \cap V(w_i)$. Set $A = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$. If A is infinite, then $V(x)$ is infinite which is a contradiction. If A is finite, then there exist x_j and an infinite subset $\{w_{j_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $\{w_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $x_j \in V(w_{j_k}) \forall k$. Equivalently, $w_{j_k} \in \bar{x}_j$. Hence, $x_j \in V(x)$ and \bar{x}_j is infinite which is a contradiction.

4. Compactness Based on Generalized Open Sets

Definitions 4.1 A topological space (X, τ) is called:

1. p -closed [1] if every preopen cover of X has a finite subfamily whose preclosures cover X .
2. quasi- H -closed (QHC) [20] if every open cover of X has a finite subfamily whose closures cover X (=every open cover of X has a finite subfamily whose union is dense),
3. strongly compact [14] if every preopen cover of X has a finite subcover,
4. α -compact [17] if every α -open cover of X has a finite subcover,
5. semi-compact [16] if every semi-open cover of X has a finite subcover,

The following implications hold in general:

strongly compact $\Rightarrow p$ -closed

\Downarrow

\Downarrow

α -compact \Rightarrow compact $\Rightarrow QHC$

\Uparrow

semi-compact

Remark 4.2 *There is no guarantee that the reverse of these implications hold. Nevertheless, we have the following:*

6. If X is strongly irresolvable, then $\tau_\alpha = PO(X)$, and hence α -compact \Leftrightarrow strongly compact.
7. If X is submaximal, then $\tau = \tau_\alpha$, and hence compact $\Leftrightarrow \alpha$ -compact.
8. If X is extremally disconnected, then $\tau_\alpha = SO(X)$, and hence α -compact \Leftrightarrow semi-compact.

As we mentioned above, if X is p -closed then it is QHC . The following theorem provides a condition when a QHC space is p -closed.

Theorem 4.3 [15] *Let (X, τ) be a strongly irresolvable space, then (X, τ) is p -closed if and only if it is QHC .*

Theorem 4.4 *Let X be a UBT_0 A -space. Then the following implications hold for X :*

strongly compact $\Rightarrow p$ -closed

\Downarrow

\Downarrow

α -compact \Rightarrow compact $\Rightarrow QHC$

\Uparrow

semi-compact

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, X is strongly irresolvable. So, using Remark 4.2 part 1 and Theorem 4.3 we get the result.

Theorem 4.5 *If X is a T_0 A -space such that each element set $\{x\}$ in X is either open or closed, then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. X is compact.
2. X is α -compact
3. X is strongly compact.
4. The set of minimal elements m is finite.

Proof. By Theorem 2.4, X is submaximal. So X is BBT_0 A -space. By Remark 4.2 part 2, $(1 \Leftrightarrow 2)$. From Theorem 4.4, $(2 \Leftrightarrow 3)$. Finally, $(4 \Leftrightarrow 1)$ comes from Theorem 3.2 part 1.

Theorem 4.6 *Let X be a UBT_0 A -space. Then X is strongly compact ($\equiv \alpha$ -compact) iff X -Max is finite set.*

Proof. Equivalent form of Corollary 3.6.

Theorem 4.7 *If X is a UBT_0 A -space such that $|\hat{x}|=1$ for each $x \in X$, then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. X is semi-compact.
2. X is α -compact
3. X is strongly compact.

Proof. Direct from Theorem 2.3 and Remark 4.2 part 3.

Theorem 4.8 *Let X be a UBT_0 A -space. Then X is semi-compact if and only if X is finite.*

Proof. Since X is α -compact, X -Max is finite. Suppose to contrary that M is infinite. Let $X-M = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\}$. Pick $y_i \in \hat{x}_i$ and set $S_i = \{x_i, y_i\}$. Then by Theorem 2.1 part 3, S_i is semi-open set. Moreover, $A = \{S_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \cup \{z\} : z \in M \text{ and } z \neq y_i\}$ is a

collection of semi-open cover without finite subcover which is a contradiction. Conversely, since the power set $P(X)$ is finite, any collection of semi-open cover is finite.

Theorem 4.9 Let $(X, \tau(\leq))$ be a $UB T_0 A$ -space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. X is p -closed.
2. X is QHC .
3. There exists a finite subset N such that $V(N)(=\uparrow N)$ is dense.

Proof. From Theorem 4.4, $(1 \Leftrightarrow 2)$

$(2 \Rightarrow 3)$ The family $\{V(x) : x \in X\}$ has a finite subfamily $\{V(x_1), V(x_2), \dots, V(x_n)\}$ such that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{V(x_i)} = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^n V(x_i)}$. Set $N = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, so $X = \overline{V(N)}$.

$(3 \Rightarrow 2)$ Suppose that $N = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ and $X = \overline{V(N)}$. Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be an open cover of X . Take $U_{\alpha_1}, U_{\alpha_2}, \dots, U_{\alpha_n}$ to be such that $x_i \in U_{\alpha_i}$. Then $V(x_i) \subseteq U_{\alpha_i}$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Therefore $X = \overline{V(N)} = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^n V(x_i)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{V(x_i)} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$.

Corollary 4.10 If X is a compact $BB T_0 A$ -space, then X is QHC .

Proof. Take $N = m$.

Corollary 4.11 If X is a $UB T_0 A$ -space such that M is finite, then X is QHC .

Proof. Take $N = M$.

The reverse of the previous two corollaries need not be true. Consider the space $X = \{T\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ where the order on \mathbb{N} is anti-chain and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n < T$. Then X is QHC . Moreover, X is not compact since $m = \mathbb{N}$ is infinite.

Consider the space $Y = \{\perp\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ where the order on \mathbb{N} is anti-chain and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > \perp$. Then Y is compact and hence QHC , while $M = \mathbb{N}$ is infinite.

References

- [1] Abo-Khadra, A. (1989). On generalized forms of compactness. *Master's Thesis*, Tanta niv.
- [2] Alexandroff, P. (1937). Diskrete Räume. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 2, 501 – 518.
- [3] Arenas, F.G. (1999). Alexandroff spaces. *Acta Math. Univ. Comeniana*, 68(1), 17 – 25.
- [4] Crossley, S. and Hildebrand, S. (1971). Semi-closure. *Texas. J. Sci*, 22, 99 – 112.
- [5] Dontchev, J. (1998). Survey on preopen sets. *The Proceedings of the Yatsushiro topological conference*, 1 – 18.
- [6] El-Deep, N., Hasanein, I., Mashhour, A., and Noiri, T. (1983). On p -regular spaces. *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R.S. Roumanie*, 27(75), 311 – 315.
- [7] Elostath, L. (2013). On upper and lower bounded spaces. *M.Sc. thesis*, Islamic Univ. Gaza.
- [8] Foran, J. and Liebnitz, P. (1991). A characterization of almost resolvable spaces. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, 40(2), 136 – 141.
- [9] Hewitt, E. (1943). A problem of set theoretic topology. *Duke Math. J.*, 10, 309 – 333.
- [10] Levine, N. (1963). Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 70, 36 – 41.
- [11] Mahdi, H. and Elatrash, M. (2005). On T_0 -Alexandroff spaces. *J. of IUG Univ.*, 13(2), 19 – 46.
- [12] Mahdi, H. and Elostath, L. (2014). On upper bounded T_0 Alexandroff spaces. *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences*, 9(8), 361 – 374.

-
- [13] Mahdi, H.(2004). Separation properties for topological spaces. *Ph.D. thesis*, Ain Shams Univ. and El-Aqsa Univ.
- [14] Mashhour, A., Abd El-Monsef M., Hasanein, I., and Noiri, T. (1984). Strongly compact spaces. *Delta J. Sci.*, 8, 30 – 46.
- [15] Mashhour, A., Abd El-Monsef, M., and El-Deeb,S. (1982). On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings. *Proc. Math. Phys. Soc.*, 53, 47 – 53.
- [16] Dorsett, C. (1981). Semi compactness, semi separation axioms, and product spaces. *Bull. of Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc.*, 4(1), 21-28.
- [17] Maheshwari, S. N. and Thakur, S. S. (1985). On α -compact spaces. *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica*, 13, 341-347.
- [18] Njastad, O. (1965). On some classes of nearly open sets. *Pacific J. Math*, 15, 961 – 970.
- [19] Noiri, T. (1984). On α –continuous functions. *Cas. pest. mat.*, 109, 118 - 126.
- [20] Porter, J. and Thomas, J. (1969). On H-closed and minimal Hausdorff spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138, 159 – 170.
- [21] Reilly, I.L. and Vamanamurthy, M. (1990). On some questions concerning preopen sets. *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 30(1), 87 – 93.
- [22] Rose, D., Scible, G., and Walsh, D. (2012). Alexandroff spaces. *J. of Advanced studies in Topolgy*, 24, 41 – 43.
-