

EDUCATIONAL COUNCILING IN UPPER-PRIMARY AND
SECONDARY SCHOOL, IN GAZA GOVERNORATES;
CURRENT STATUS, PROBLEM AND SOLUTION.

Abstract The aim of this study was to explore the current status of academic counseling, to identify the role of academic counselors and their problems , and to find out the relationship between these problems and counselors, sex , learning stage and school district

The society of this study consisted of all counselors in Gaza Governrates which their number was (150) Arandom sample of (88) counselors .was selected which constited (%84) of the total society of counselors .To get the needed data A questionnaire of 27items, distributed between three domains was developed and administered to the members of the sample .

The results. of this study revealed that the domain . of problems reated to preparation and training come in the first place with a percentage of (%73.33) followed by the domain of problems related to work conditions with a percentage of (%74,17) and finally come the domain of problems related to school administration and teaching staff with a percentage of (%56.49) .

The results also revealed that there were no significant differences in the means of problems due to sex of counselors or school stage or school district .

In light of these results the researcher suggested that . academic counseling should be given more attention and providing each school with a full – time counselor. finally media should emphasize the importance of academic counseling to the public .

(105)
(%84) (88) ()
(3) (27)

(%97,33)
(%74,17)
)
(%56,49

(1) .

(2).

()

(3) .

1996

(4) .

(105)

()

()

:

:

:

: -

- .(-) : -
): -

:

- - () : -1
) : -2

(: -3

(-)

:

.

-:

-1
-2

-3

-4

. (-)

-5

-6

" -1

(5) "

"

-2

(6) "

:

" (1999)

-1

"

(31)

:

" "

(7)

"

(1999)

-2

"

:

(350)

(43)

(150)

.(8)

(150)

" (1995) :

-3

"

(200)

(51)

.(9)

:

"

(1992)

4-

"

()

()

(27)

(487)

(42)

:

:

:

(7)

-

(42)

(42)

(4)

(10)

"

(1991)

-5

"

-:

:

(11)

"

(1990)

-6

(316)

"

:

(12)

"

(1990)

-7

"

(11) (1313)
.
(7)
.
(13)
.
(1988) -8
:
(11663)
(1320) (1075)
:
(14) .
" **(1984)** -9
."
(213)

.

:

(15)

(1983)

-10

:

(47)

(23)

(16)

-

- -
(-)
()
. ()

. () ()

-

-

-

:

(17)

(105)

(1)

2000-1999

(1)

1999

. **2000-**

22	12	10	22	9	13	
40	19	21	40	14	26	
43	22	21	43	19	24	
105	53	52	105	42	63	

(35)

(53)

(88)

%84

()

(2)

2000 -1999

(2)

. 2000-1999

22	12	10	22	9	13	
30	11	19	30	13	17	
36	12	24	36	16	20	
88	35	53	88	38	50	

()

(27)

- :

9

-

9

-

9

-

-1

-2

-3

(10)

-4

(27)

(4)

(9)

(10)

:

-

(4)

)

. (1) (27)

:

-

(3)

(3)

0,83		.1
0,77		.2
0,88		.3
0,92		.4

(01)

(0,82)

(18)

$$\frac{2}{+1} = /$$

+1

$$0,90 = \frac{0,821 \times 2}{0,821 + 1} = /$$

0,90

(2)

(88)

(SPSS)

:

)

- :

-:

(

-:

-1

-2

.()

-3

-4

()

-5

-6

-7

-8

-9

(. -10

. -11
-12

. -13

. -14

. -15

. -16

-1

.) -2

:
.
: -3

-4

)

(

.(4)

(4)

%87	4,35	.	9	-1
84,6	4,23	.	1	-2
%79,2	3,96	.	4	-3
%79	3,95	.	3	-4
%78,8	3,94	.	8	-5
%78,6	3,88	.	7	-6
%78,6	3,88	.	5	-7
%76,4	3,82	.	2	-8

%72	3,60	.	6	-9
-----	------	---	---	----

) (4)

(

.%87 (4,35)

()

(%72) (3,60)

(5)

(5)

%63,8	3,19		2	-1
%61,2	3,06	.	1	-2
%58,4	2,92		4	-3
%56,2	2,81	.	3	-4
%57,2	2,86	.	6	-5
%55	2,75	.	5	-6

%54,6	2,73		9	-7
%51,4	2,57		8	-8
%50,2	2,51		7	-9

)

(2)

(5)

(

(% 63,8)

(3,19)

)

)

(

(%50,1)

(2,51

(6)

(6)

%87,2	4,36		3	-1
%77,2	3,89		2	-2
%75,6	3,78		4	-3
%73,2	3,66		7	-4

%73,2	3,66		8	-5
%72,8	3,64		1	-6
71,6	3,58		5	-7
%69,8	3,49		9	-8
%66,4	3,32		6	-9

) (6)

)

(

. % 87,2

(4,36

(66,4)

(3,32)

(7)

(7)

:

%79,33	35,67			-1
%74,17	33,38			-2

%56,49	25,42			-3
%70	94,47			

(7)

-:

()

(%79,33)

(35,67)

()

(% 74,17)

(33,38)

()

(% 56,49)

(25,42)

)

-:

:

:(9)

(8)

:

(1)

(8)

()

		16,07	95,75	53	

	976	14,68	92,51	35	
--	-----	-------	-------	----	--

(0,976) () (1,67) ()

()

(Tukey) (Scheffe)

()

(9)

() ()

	221,34	86	20755	53	
	241,33		20976	35	

(0,05)

:

-1

-2

-3

-4

-5

(10)

(10)

%85,2	4,26		9	-1
%85	4,25		4	-2
%81,2	4,06		1	-3
79,65	3,98		7	-4
%78,4	3,92		3	-5

76,25	3,81		2	-6
%75,8	3,79		8	-7
73,2	3,66		5	-8
71,4	3,57		6	9
%78,44	35,30			
%90	4,50		1	-1
%89,8	4,49		9	-2
%84,9	4,23		5	-3
%83,1	4,17		8	-4
%80	4,00		3	-5
%77,2	3,86		2	-6
%74,8	3,74		7	-7
%74,2	3,71		6	-8

%70,8	3,54		4	-9
%80,511	36,94			

(10)

)
 (4,26) ()

()

()

)
 (4.50) ()

()
(3,75)

()
(3,54)

(11)

(11)

		:		
%65	3,25		1	-1
%64,6	3,23		2	-2
%60,8	3,04		3	-3
%60	3,00		6	-4
%59,5	2,98		4	-5
%57,4	2,87		9	-6
%57	2,85		8	-7
%56,6	2,83		5	-8
%53,2	2,66		7	9
%59,33	26,65			
62,8	3,14		2	-1
%56,6	2,83		4	-2
%55,4	2,77		1	-3
%53,2	2,66		6	-4
%52,6	2,63		5	-5
%50,8	2,54		9	-6
%49,2	2,46		3	-7
%45,8	2,29		7	-8

%43,4	2,17		8	-9
%52.2	23,49			

)

(
(%65 3,2)

- -

3,14)
(
(%62,8

2,66)) ((%53,2

2,17) ((%43,4

(12)

(12)

		:		
--	--	---	--	--

%94,8	4,74		2	-1
%86,4	4,32		3	-2
%75	3,75		4	-3
% 74,8	3,74		1	-4
%74,8	3,74		8	-5
74,45	3,72		7	-6
71,65	3,58		5	-7
%71,6	3,58		9	-8
%64.6	3,22		6	-9
%75,02	34,39			
%88,6	4,43		3	-1
%82,2	4,11		2	-2

%76,4	3,82		4	-3
%72	3,60		5	-4
%71,4	3,57		7	-5
%70,8	3,54		8	-6
%69,8	3,49		1	-7
%67,4	3,37		9	-8
%57,2	2,86		6	-9
%72,88	32,8			

)

(12)

(

.(%94,8

4,74)

()
 (%88,6 4,43)
 (86,4 4,32)

()
 (%57,2 2,86)
 (64.6) (3,22)

(2)

(13)

(13)

	1,448	2	345,64	291,285		
				20284,61		

		85	238,6			.
						.
		87			20975,9	

2,37

()

1,448 =

()

86 = 2 - 88

-:

.1

.2

.3

(14)

(14)

		:		
%86,6	4,33	.	9	-1
%84,65	4,23		2	-2

%82,6	4,13		1	-3
%80,6	4,03		3	-4
%80,2	4,01		7	-5
%78	3,90		8	-6
%76	3,8		5	-7
%75,4	3,77		6	-8
%70,6	3,53		4	-9
%83,11	35,73			
%91	4.55		9	-1
%90	4,50		1	-2
%81,8	4,09		2	-3
81,8	2,09		3	-4
% 80,8	4,04		7	-5
% 78	3,90		8	-6
%75,4	3,77		5	-7
%73,6	3,68		6	-8
%80,2	3,45		4	-9
%80,2	34,07			

%85	4,25	.	9	-1
82,85	4,14	.	1	2
%80,6	4,03	.	5	-3
%80	4,00	.	8	-4
%76,2	3,81	.	3	-5
%73,4	3,67	.	7	-6
%69,4	3,47	.	6	-7
%66,6	3,33	.	2	-8
%65,6	3,28	.	4	-9
%75,48	33,97			

(14)

)

(

4,33)

(%91

4,55)

(%86,6

. (%85

4,25)

)

3,53)

(

3,45)

(%70,6

)

(%69

(%65,6

3,28

(15)

(15)

		:		
%67,4	3,37	.	1	-1
%65,8	3,29		2	-2
%64,6	3,23	.	6	-3
%60	3,00	.	5	-4
%59,4	2,97	.	9	-5
%56,6	2,83		8	-6
%56,2	2,81	.	3	-7
%56	2,80		4	-8
%55,2	2,76		7	-9
%60,15	27,06			
%62,6	3,13		2	-1
%60,8	3,04		4	-2

%60	3,00		1	-3
%58,2	2,91		3	-4
%55,4	2,77		6	-5
%54,6	2,73		5	-6
%54,6	2,73		9	-7
%50	2,50		8	-8
%47,2	2,36		7	-9
%55,95	25,18			
%63,8	3,19		2	-1
%58,8	2,94		4	2
%56,6	2,83		1	-3
%53,8	2,67		3	-4
%52,2	2,61		6	-5
%51,2	2,56		5	-6
%51,2	2,56		9	-7
%45,4	2,42		8	-8

			7	-9
%53,75	24,19			

(15)

)

(

(%67,4

3,37)

3,0)

(%60

)

(%56.6

2,83)

(

. (%62,6

3,13)

(%63,8

3,19)

)

(

.(2,42 2,36 2,76)

(16)

(16)

		:		
--	--	---	--	--

%86,6	4,33	.	3	-1
%77,4	3,87	.	1	-2
%76,6	3,83		2	-3
%75,4	3,77		8	-4
%73,4	3,67		4	-5
%72,6	3,63		7	-6
% 70,6	3,53		6	-7
% 69,4	3,47		9	-8
%68,6	3,43	.	5	-9
%74,22	33,53			
%91,8	4,59	.	3	-1
%80	4,00		4	-2
%77,2	3,86		2	-3
%76,4	3,82	.	1	-4
%74,6	3,73		9	-5

%71	3,55		5	-6
%71	3,55		8	-7
%70	3,50		7	-8
%60	3,00		6	-9
%74,64	33,69			
%85	4,25		3	-1
%78,8	3,94		2	2
%75,6	3,78		7	-3
%75,4	3,77		4	-4
%74,4	3,72		5	-5
%74,4	3,72		8	-6
%67,2	3,36		9	-7
%66,6	3,33		6	-8
%66,6	3,33		1	-9
%73,22	33,20			

(16)
)
 (86,6 4,33)
 (%91 4,55) (%
 4,25) (%85
)
) (3,43
 . (%68,6
 . (%71 3,55)
 .
)
 . (%60 3,0)
 (3,33)
 . (%66.6
 (3)

(17)

(17)

:

()

--	--	--	--	--	--

	1,66	14,96	96,86	50	
		15,89	91,32	38	

86 = 2 - 88

()

1,67 ()

()

•

•

•

)

(

(18)

(18)

		:		
%88,8	4,44	.	4	-1
%83,6	4,18		1	-2

%83,2	4,16	.	7	-3
%80,4	4,02		3	-4
%79,2	3,96	.	8	-5
%78	3,90		5	-6
%78	3,90		2	-7
%74	3,7	.	6	-8
%66,4	3,32	.	9	-9
81,28	35,58			
%85,8	4,29		1	-1
%78,4	3,92	.	8	-2
%77,4	3,87		3	-3
%77,4	3,87		5	-4
%74,8	3,74		2	-5
%70,6	3,53	.	7	-6
%70	3,50	.	6	-7
%68	3,40	.	9	-8
%66,8	3,34	.	4	-9
76,66	33,46			

(18)

)

(

%56,8	2,84		3	-7
%51,6	2,58		7	-8
%50,8	2,54		8	-9
%57,4	25,86			
%66,4	3,32		2	-1
%61,6	3,08		1	-2
%57,4	2,87		4	-3
%55,2	2,76		3	-4
%52,6	2,63		6	-5
%52,6	2,63		8	-6
%51,6	2,58		9	-7
%51	2,55		5	-8
%48,4	2,42		7	-9
%55,2	24,84			

(19)

)
3,4)

(
(%68,8

(%52,6 2,63)
)
 3,32)
 . %66.4 ()
 . %50.8 (3,1)
 %54.6 (2,54)
 . (2,63)
)
 (42 2) ()
 %48.42
 (20)

(20)

%88,8	4,44		3	-1

%79,6	3,98		2	-2
%76,4	3,82		1	-3
%76	3,80		4	-4
%75,2	3,76		5	-5
%75,2	3,76		7	-6
%74,8	3,74		8	-7
%72	3,60		6	-8
%60,4	3,52		9	-9
%76,4	30,42			
%85,2	4,26		3	-1
%75,2	3,76		2	-2
%75,2	3,76		4	-3
%71	3,55		8	-4

%70,6	3,53		7	-5
%68,8	3,44		9	-6
%68	3,40		1	-7
%66,8	3,34		5	-8
%59	2,95		6	-9
%71,1	31,99			

(20)

()

(44,4)

%85.2

(4,26)

%88.8

)

(3,52)

. %60.4

)

(

. %59

(2,95)

) : - :
(

(21)

(21)

:

30		-1
23		-2
22		-3
20		-4
19		-5
19		-6
18	()	-7
15		-8
14		-9
12		-10
12		-11
12		-12

11		-13
10		-14
9		-15
8		-16

.
:
.

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

.6

.7

	" . (1991)		.1
	"		
		. 163 22	
	" . (1987)		.2
	9		"
		. 46	
"	. (1982)		.3
. 24	"		
	. (2000)		.4
			.
	" . (1982)		.5
	. 47		"
	. (. .)		.6
	.		
7.	Author, Naga No, Cheryl 1999, "The Scondary School Counselors Rolf : Perceptions of High School Students' Chif Mj Degree Med School University of Hawaii.		
8.	Author Bar, Yael 1999 : Co-Ed Jwish Day High School Counselors' Perception of Thir Practice Day School.		
	" (1995)		.9
11	"		
		. 291 -265	
	" . (1992)		.10
	"		
	.1992		-
	. (1991)		.11

- . 165
. (1990) .12
. 1990
13. *Ginter, E., Scalise. J and presse, N., The Elementary School Counselor, s Role; Perceptions of Teachers The School Counselor, 384, 18- 23, 1990 .*
14. *Boser J., Popppen, W. and Thompson, C, Elementary School Guidance Program Evaluation. The School Counselor. 36 (2), 25 -135 , 1988.*
. (1984) .15
- 1984 (3)
16. *Cobb, H, and Ricchards, H, Efficay of Counseling Services D ecreasing Be- havior Problems of El ementary School. Chidren. Elementary school Guidance and Counseling. 17 (3) .180-187, 1983*
. (1974) .17
. (1988) .18
. 85

.	-1
.	-2
.	-3
.	-4
.	-5
.	-6
.	-7
.	-8
.	-9
.	-10

(16)
(18)