

/ / : / / :

:

( )

:

**Evaluation study for the teacher preparation program in the college of education of the Islamic University of Gaza.**

**Abstract:** The study aimed at evaluating the teacher preparation program of the college of education at the Islamic university of Gaza was from the graduates points of view. The study tool "graduate exit survey" distributed to a random sample from the different specializations of graduates. The "graduate exit survey" was developed by the researchers and its psychometric properties were good. The study tool contains the following domains: general information, program courses, and attitude towards teaching profession, acquired skills, the reality of the practical training program, communication skills, and satisfaction regarding the program and improving the program. Means and weighted averages were used. Results showed that practical training and applied courses were highly evaluated by graduates. In addition to that graduates were satisfied in relation to faculty performance, college program. The program was able to promote positive attitudes towards teaching profession and to equip students with the required skills. The graduates pointed that the program needs more improvement in the following

areas: designing instructional plans, creative activities and the duration of the practical training period. The recommendations were many. They pointed to the importance of improving the practical training program and the acquisition of technical and professional skills in addition to the importance of evaluating the program in a regular basis.

:

" : ( )  
" : .( : )  
.( ) " " : .( ) "  
" :  
.( ) "  
" "

.[ : ]

.[ : ]

: ( - )  
\*

\*

\*

.[ : ]

.[ : ]

[ : ]"

.( : )

.( : )

. [ : ]

.( : )

:

( )

( )

:[ ]

Classical models (

.Taylor

Accreditation models (

.Scriven

Stake

models Systems (

.Stufflebeam

Discrepancy models (

.Provus

:

[ ]

" " :

Stufflebeam

.[ ]

/

:

( )

( )

( )

.[ ]

/

. [ ]

:

:

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

:

-

-  
-  
-  
-  
-  
-  
-  
-  
-  
-

:

:

:

. [ ]

:

( )

. [ ]

.( )

:

:

.[ ]

:

-

:

:

[ ]

( )

(% )

[ ]

[ ]



( ) ( ) ( )  
 (% , )  
 (% , )  
 (% , )  
 (% )  
 [ ]  
 ( )  
 [ ]  
 ( )  
 [ ]  
 ( )  
 [ ]  
 ( )  
 [ ]

(% )

( )

(% )

[ ]

( )

(% )

(% , )

:

[ ]

( )

( )

[ ]

( )

( )

[ ]

( )

( )

[ ]

( )

[ ]

( )

( )

( )

( )

[ ]

[ ]

(

)

[ ]

[ ]

( )

( )

[ ]

( )

( )

[ ]

( )

[ ]

[ ]

[ ]

[ ]

[ ]

[ ]

[ ]

[ ]

. [ ]

. ( )

( )

:

:

:

:

(% )

:

:

[ ] :

( ) : \_\_\_\_\_

( )

( ) ( )

( )

\_\_\_\_\_

\*

( )

( )

( )

\*

\*

\*

( ) ( )

( )

\*

( ) ( )

\*

( ) ( )

\*

( ) ( )

\*

( ) ( )

\*

( ) ( )

\*

( ) ( )

\*

:\_\_\_\_\_

( )

( )

( )

.( - )

:\_\_\_\_\_

( )

( )

( )

( )

( )

:\_\_\_\_\_

( )

( )

( )

( )

:\_\_\_\_\_

:

( )

. SPSS

:

:()

( )		
,		:
,		:
,		:
,		
,		
,		
,		
,		
,		

.[ ]" "

(% )                      (% , )                      ( , )  
(% , )                      .(% , )  
.(% , )



(% , ) (%, ) (% , )  
 (% , ) (% , )  
 (% , ) (% , ) (% , )  
 (% , )  
 (% , )  
 (% , ) (% , )  
 (% , ) (% , )  
 (% , ) (% , )  
 (% , )

( ) : ( )

1	%78.67	1.75	3.93	90	
2	%74.54	1.32	3.72	88	
2	%74.32	1.63	3.71	88	
3	%73.10	1.71	3.65	87	
3	%73.03	1.50	3.65	89	
4	%72.13	1.43	3.60	89	
5	%71.40	1.48	3.56	86	
6	%70.60	1.50	3.53	83	

6	%70.22	1.38	3.51	90	
7	%66.70	1.41	3.34	89	
8	%63.34	1.11	3.17	87	
9	%63.31	1.34	3.15	89	
10	%61.57	1.18	3.07	89	
11	%58.88	1.13	2.94	89	

= = = = :

=

:

( )

( )

%79.53	1.69	3.97	86	
%73.73	1.44	3.68	86	
%73.33	1.31	3.66	84	

%73.18	1.48	3.65	85	
%72.09	1.58	3.60	86	
%71.29	1.45	3.56	85	
%71.08	1.64	3.55	83	
%67.75	1.55	3.38	80	
%67.71	1.55	3.38	83	
%64.52	1.42	3.22	84	
%63.38	1.16	3.19	84	
%60.23	1.46	3.01	86	
%59.29	1.12	2.96	84	
%55.63	1.30	2.78	87	

= = = ; = =

[ ] [ ]

( , )

.( , )

( , )

---

= = = ; 1  
 = = = ; 2  
 = = = ; 3

( , )

.( , )

( , )

.( , )

:

:

( )

(% )

(% )

:( )

		*		
	,	,		:
	,	,		:
	,	,		:
	,	,		:

= = = = :

.(% , )

(% , )

.(% , )

---

=	=	= :	4
=	=	= :	5
= ...	=	= :	6
= ...	=	= :	7

.(% , )

.[ ]

(% )

( )

(% , )

:

:

:

(% )

( )

( )

1	%89.78	.690	4.48	90	
2	%88.78	.774	4.38	90	
3	%85.00	.776	4.25	88	
4	%84.55	.931	4.22	88	
5	%83.33	.851	4.16	90	
6	%82.70	.814	4.13	89	
7	%82.0	.900	4.10	90	
8	%80.0	.848	4.00	90	
9	%78.43	.828	3.92	89	
10	%78.20	.874	3.91	89	
11	%78.20	.937	3.91	89	
12	%77.95	.884	3.89	88	
13	%75.56	.884	3.77	90	



	%89.30	.821	4.46	86	
	%88.47	.696	4.42	85	
	%88.37	.758	4.41	86	
	%87.76	.772	4.38	85	
	%86.51	.758	4.32	86	
	%84.09	.846	4.20	88	
	%81.38	.985	4.06	87	
	%74.88	.960	3.74	86	
	%67.21	1.33	3.36	86	

= = = = : =

.( ) ( )  
 ( ) ( )  
 ( ) .( )  
 .( )  
 ( )

1	1	
3	2	
12	3	
11	4	
5	5	

2	6	
6	7	
8	8	
7	9	
4	10	
9	11	
13	12	
10	13	
14	14	
16	15	
15	16	
17	17	

:

:

[ ]

( )

[ ]



( )

%94.25	.547	4.71	87	
%84.55	.880	4.22	88	
%84.14	.749	4.20	87	
%83.91	.775	4.19	87	
%83.86	.724	4.19	88	
%83.86	.814	4.19	88	( / / )
%82.95	.824	4.14	88	
%82.27	.779	4.11	88	
%80.7	.794	4.03	88	
%79.10	.882	3.95	88	
%73.79	.853	3.68	87	
%71.82	1.00	3.59	88	

= = = = = :

: :

( )

% ,	.621	4.54	90	/
% ,	.810	4.28	90	
% ,	.924	4.10	90	
% ,	.765	4.10	90	
% ,	.866	3.88	90	
% ,	1.22	3.26	90	

= = = = :

[ ]

[ ]

:

:

( )

[ ]

[ ]

[ ]

( )

--	--	--	--	--



%		
%		
%		

:

( )

%		
%		
%		
%		
%		

•

•

•

•

•

:( )

[1]

- ( ) [2]
- ( ) [3]
- ( ) [4]
- ( ) [5]
- ( ) [6]
- ( )
- [7] Worthen, B. , Sanders, J., Fitzpatrick, J. (1997). Program evaluation: alternative approaches and practical guidelines. New York: Longman.
- ( ) [8]
- [9] France, Evelyn (1979). Two year Assessment of selected performance competencies in the Carolina state college Elementary teacher education program, Dissertation Abstract Int, vo1. 40 No, 7
- [10] Davis, M. & Zaret, I. (1984). Needed in teacher education pre-service to in-service. Journal of teacher education, American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education, vol, 35.
- ( ) [11]
- ( ) [12]
- "
- "
- ( ) [13]
- ( )
- ( ) [14]
- ( )

- ( ) .( ) [15]
- ( ) ( ) [16]
- . - ( ) .( ) [17]
- . - ( ) .( ) [18]
- . - ( - )  
0 [19]
- ( ) ( )
- .( ) [20]
- . - ( ) ( ) [21]
- ( )
- ( ) [22]
- .( ) [23]
- .( )
- . - ( ) .( ) [24]
- . - ( ) ( ) [25]
- . - ( )

- ( ) [26]
- ( ) [27]
- ( ) [28]
- [29]RISE (1990). General report 90-1 in the Iowa State university – teacher education project. College of Education- Iowa State University, 5-2.
- [30]Schnieder, S. & Niederjohn, R. (1995). Assessing student learning outcomes using graduating senior exit surveys & alumni surveys. accessed 5-3-2004  
<http://www.fie.enging.pitt.edu/files95/2c1/2c11.htm>
- [31]UW (1998). Senior survey. Office of Educational Assessment. University of Washington.
- [32]UIUC (1999). Campus senior exit survey. accessed 5-3-2004  
<http://www.ece.uiuc.edu/abet/senexit.html>
- [33]ISU (2001). ISU undergraduate education survey. College of Education. Iowa State University.
- [34]MSSTAT (2001). College of education exit survey summary 1998-1999, 1999-2000, 2000-2001. accessed 5-3-2004  
<http://www.msstate.edu/ncate/std2/exit.pdf>
- [35]SFSU (2001). SFSU undergraduate exit survey 2000-2001. accessed 5-3-2004.  
<http://www.sfsu.edu/~acadplan/ugexits01inst.htm>
- ( ) [36]
- ( ) [37]
- ( ) [38]
- [39]Thorndike,R.(1997).Measurement and Evaluation in Psychology and



Education, Sixth edition, Merrill, Prentice Hall, 116.



( )

: /

...

.

:

\_\_\_\_\_

:

..... :

□ □ :

□ □ □ □ □ :

□ □ □ □ □

□ □ :

□ □ □ □ □ :

□ □ :


□ □ □ □ □ :

□ □ □ □ □ :

□














/


:

- \*
- \*
- \*